The Importance of Educational Outcomes and Some Thoughts on Improvement

Eric A. Hanushek

Stanford University

Socioeconomic Impact of Education

Taub Center

September 2011

Outline of Talk

Three main ideas:

- 1. Quality education is very valuable
- 2. Teacher quality is most important aspect of schools
- 3. Institutions and incentives are key policy levers



- Measuring knowledge, not sitting in the classroom
- International agencies have conducted many international tests of students' performance in cognitive skills since mid-1960s
 - 12 testing occasions, 36 separate test observations (age levels, subjects)



Cognitive Skills and Economic Growth



Years of Schooling and Economic Growth



Without quality control

With quality control



Growth Projections

- Scenario 1
 - Achievement improves by 25 points (1/4 s.d.)









Growth Projections

- Scenario 1
 - Achievement improves by 25 points (1/4 s.d.)
 - PV = 288% of current GDP
 - \$123T for OECD
 - \$628 billion for Israel

Growth Projections

- Scenario 1
 - Achievement improves by 25 points (1/4 s.d.)
- Scenario 2
 - Everybody Achieves at Level of Finland
 - PV = 645% of current GDP in OECD
 - \$275T for OECD
 - \$3.37 trillion for Israel

PV=1547% of current GDP in Israel

Everybody Achieves at Level of Finland



Growth Projections

- Scenario 1
 - Achievement improves by 25 points (1/4 s.d.)
- Scenario 2
 - Everybody Achieves at Level of Finland
- Scenario 3
 - All students to OECD mean (500 points)
 - \$1.64 trillion for Israel
 - 754% of current GDP

Rocket Scientists or Education for All?

- Should schooling policy concentrate attention at lowest or highest achievers?
 - Egalitarian vs. elitist school systems
 - BOTH seem important
 - Rocket scientists more important for developing countries



Spending

Resources and Performance across

Countries

Math performance in PISA 2003





- Spending
- Teacher quality

Teacher Quality

- Strongest evidence on systematic effects
- Not related to common measures
- Observable through both student performance and supervisor ratings

Value-Added Measurement

- Need to separate teacher effects from other things
- Look at growth in achievement, statistically adjust for other factors
- Shows impact of improving teacher quality
- Permits evaluations and performance rewards

U.S. Evidence on Value-Added of Teachers

- Large area of current research
- Consistent estimates of impacts
 - One year of learning more from good teacher
 - Overcome family background with 3-5 years of a good teacher
- Increasingly used in states and school districts
 - Race to the Top
 - Washington, DC; Denver; Florida

Alternative Estimates of Least Effective U.S. Teachers on Student Achievement



Policy options

- Spending
- Teacher quality

Institutional changes

- 1. Competition and choice (private schools)
- 2. Accountability (central exit exams)
- 3. Autonomy
- 4. Tracking
- 5. Teacher performance pay
- 6. Pre-primary education system

How Autonomy Affects Student Performance — Depending on Given Incentives —

School autonomy

- 1. Use of superior local knowledge
- 2. Opportunistic behavior

• School autonomy may be good or bad

How Central Exams Change Behavior — Thus Changing the Effects of Autonomy —

- Central exams provide *information*
- Central exams ease the monitoring
- By introducing accountability, central exams ease the "bad" effects of autonomy, ensuring a "good" net effect

Central Exams, School Autonomy, and Student Performance



TIMSS + TIMSS-R

Central Exams, School Autonomy, and Student Performance



TIMSS + TIMSS-R





Trends in Growth Rates vs. Trends in Test Scores



Conclusions

Three main ideas:

- 1. Quality education is very valuable
- 2. Teacher quality is most important aspect of schools
- 3. Institutions and incentives are key policy levers